

I have previously filed comments in opposition to this petition via ECFS. The sudden and unannounced appearance of this Notice of Meeting, dated 14 Feb 07, and filed via ECFS, however, demands a response.

No member of the ARRL outside of a clique in the headquarters was made aware of this meeting; worse, no member or non-member amateur radio operators were made aware of the content of the changes now proposed by the ARRL to the original petition. The alterations now sought present an even more serious potential for interference on the HF bands than the original filing.

During comments to the original filing the sentiment from those that responded was 8 to 1 against. The ARRL know these numbers well. What does it say about the worthiness of a proposal when commented against at an 8-1 rate by the amateur radio community, many ARRL members by the way, then have the ARRL try to change the entire proposal "under the table" in back room meetings, we assume to make it more palatable to the commission?

You need to deny RM-11306 on the technical merits which were pointed out quite well in the original comment period and roundly and publicly decry the ARRL's attempt at "sneaking one by" the rest of the amateur radio community with this cheap and shabby last minute change.